# **Photoinduced Change of Thermophysical Properties** of Chalcogenide As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>Thin Films<sup>1</sup>

**S. W. Kim,**2,<sup>3</sup> **C. H. Kang,**<sup>2</sup> **S. H. Hahn,**<sup>2</sup> **S. H. Lee,**<sup>4</sup> **and J. C. Kim**<sup>4</sup>

The reversible photoinduced change exhibited by amorphous chalcogenide glasses has been extensively studied recently, partly as an interesting subject for fundamental research in the field of disordered solids and partly due to potential applications in optoelectronics such as photoresists, optical memories, optoelectronic circuits, etc. The illumination of many amorphous chalcogenides changes their internal and/or surface structure while preserving their amorphous state. In this study, amorphous arsenic trisulfide  $(As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>)$  thin film samples whose thickness is  $5 \mu m$  were prepared on silicon wafers by thermal evaporation, and their thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity were measured by photoacoustic spectroscopy and a  $3\omega$  method, respectively. These measurements were repeated after illumination by an  $Ar<sup>+</sup>$  laser beam whose photon energy  $E_g$  is consistent with the energy band gap of As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. The results show that the thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity increase by about 50% and 14–15%, respectively, by the photoinduced darkening, and this can be explained by the rearrangement of atoms and thermal expansion of the film.

**KEY WORDS:** arsenic trisulfide; photoacoustic method; photodarkening; thermal conductivity; thermal diffusivity; thin film; three omega method.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Recent progress in the study of amorphous chalcogenide materials has led to increasing attention for the understanding of their structures and

**1871**

<sup>1</sup> Paper presented at the Sixteenth European Conference on Thermophysical Properties, September 1–4, 2002, London, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, University of Ulsan, Ulsan 680-749, Korea.

 $3$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: sokkim@ulsan.ac.kr

<sup>4</sup> Division of Physical Metrology, Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, Taejon 305-600, Korea

various properties at the microscopic level. Among many chalcogenide materials, arsenic trisulfide  $(As_2S_3)$  is technically important because of its good transparency in the  $0.7-11 \,\mu m$  wavelength range and excellent resistance against devitrification, moisture, and corrosion [1–3].

 $As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>$  exhibits a wide variety of photoinduced phenomena that enable it to be used for optical imaging or as a storage medium and more recently, for various electronic devices, including electrooptic information storage devices and optical mass memories [4].

The reversible photoinduced darkening, which is one of the various photoinduced effects that occur in this material, is produced by the red shift of the optical gap  $E<sub>g</sub>$  [5] and induces a large change in the optical [6, 7], chemical [8], and thermal properties [9]; however, compared to other properties, the thermal properties have not been widely studied until now.

In this study, amorphous  $As_2S_3$  thin film samples, whose thickness is 5 km, were prepared on silicon wafers by thermal evaporation, and their thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity were measured by photoacoustic (PA) spectroscopy [10–12] and a  $3\omega$  method [13], respectively. These measurements were repeated after illumination by an  $Ar^+$  laser beam ( $\lambda =$ 514.5 nm) whose photon energy  $E_{\varrho}$  is consistent with the energy band gap of  $As_2S_3$ . The experimental results were analyzed and explained by the photoinduced structural changes.

#### **2. PRINCIPLES OF MEASUREMENT**

#### **2.1. Photoacoustic Spectroscopy**

There are two kinds of modes in PA measurements, namely, front surface excitation (FSE) and rear surface excitation (RSE). In general, the PA signal from the RSE mode is weaker than that from FSE; therefore, especially for the case of a thin film sample which requires high frequency modulation, FSE is more sensitive than RSE.

For the FSE mode of an opaque film on a backing material, the expressions for the amplitude variation of the PA signal can be derived from the Rosencwaig–Gersho (R–G) theory as follows [10–12];

$$
A_{\text{fs}} = \frac{A_o}{2Y^2} \left[ \frac{\{(1+g)e^Y + (1-g)e^{-Y}\}^2 \cos^2 Y + \{(1+g)e^Y - (1-g)e^{-Y}\}^2 \sin^2 Y}{\{(1+g)e^Y - (1-g)e^{-Y}\}^2 \cos^2 Y + \{(1+g)e^Y + (1-g)e^{-Y}\}^2 \sin^2 Y} \right]^{1/2}
$$

where

 $g = \varepsilon_h/\varepsilon_f$  (subscripts b and f refer to backing material and film sample)

(2)

$$
\varepsilon_i = \lambda_i / \sqrt{\alpha_i}
$$
 ( $\lambda_i$  = thermal conductivity,  $\alpha_i$  = thermal diffusivity) (3)

$$
Y = \sqrt{\pi f/f_c} \quad (f = \text{modulation frequency}) \tag{4}
$$

$$
f_c = \alpha_f / t_f^2 \quad (t_f = \text{thickness of film sample})
$$
 (5)

where  $A_0$  is the amplitude constant which is independent of modulation frequency,  $\varepsilon_i$  is the thermal effusivity,  $f_c$  is the characteristic frequency of the sample, and  $g$  is the effusivity ratio of backing to sample.

### **2.2. 3**ω **Method**

The  $3\omega$  method for the thermal conductivity measurement of thin films uses a single metal-line as both the heater and thermometer. An AC driving current at angular frequency  $\omega$  heats the surface of the dielectric film at a frequency  $2\omega$ . Since the resistance of a pure metal increases with temperature, these temperature oscillations also produce an oscillation of the electrical resistance at a frequency of  $2\omega$ . Consequently, the voltage drop across the metal-line has a small component at  $3\omega$  that can be used to measure the temperature oscillations and therefore the thermal response of the dielectric film and substrate [13].

Because the dielectric film is thin, the through-plane heat transport in the dielectric layer simply adds a frequency independent  $\Delta T_f$  to the thermal response of the Si substrate  $\Delta T_{si}$ . The slope of  $\Delta T$  vs. ln( $\omega$ ) yields the thermal conductivity of the Si substrate  $\lambda_{si}$ . For completeness, the thermal conductivity of the silicon substrate was used in conjunction with literature values for the specific heat of Si and the width of the metal-line, which allows us to calculate the expected thermal response of the substrate. Then,  $\Delta T_f = \Delta T - \Delta T_{si}$ . For the semiconducting silicon substrate used in this work, however, the bare substrate thermal response must be calculated.

Since the film thickness  $t_f$  studied in this work is far smaller than the width of the heater 2*b*, heat flow in the dielectric layer is one-dimensional and the apparent thermal conductivity of the layer can be found using [13]

$$
\Delta T_f = \frac{P t_f}{b \lambda_f} \tag{6}
$$

where  $P$  is the amplitude of the heater power per unit length.



**Fig. 1.** Time-dependent transmittance in an amorphous  $As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>$  thin film for three incident laser powers.

## **3. EXPERIMENT**

The experiments were carried out with  $5 \mu m$  thick films prepared by vacuum condensation of high purity amorphous  $As_2S_3$  in a standard vacuum unit with an oil diffusion pump, maintaining a residual pressure on the order of  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  torr. The mean deposition rate was about 0.5 nm·s<sup>−</sup>1. The Ta crucible was used as an evaporation source, and the silicon (1,1,1) wafer was used as a substrate. The fresh film was photodarkened by 50 min illumination of  $Ar<sup>+</sup>$  laser beam whose power and diameter were 130 mW and 10 mm, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the time-dependent transmittance in a fresh  $As_2S_3$ thin film sample for three incident laser powers of 10, 20, and 30 mW. As the incident power becomes higher, the amount of transmittance change increases because the photodarkening was produced gradually on increasing the power. The photodarkened sample was recovered by annealing at about  $180^{\circ}$ C for 2h, therefore it is called a "reversible photodarkening."

The experimental setup for PA thermal diffusivity is shown in Fig. 2. The heating beam from an Ar<sup>+</sup> laser is absorbed by the sample placed in the PA cell. The beam is modulated by an acoustooptic modulator (AOM) whose modulation frequency can be varied from a few Hz to a few MHz. The beam size on the sample surface is fixed by a condensing lens and iris to be 10 mm. For detection of the PA signal, we used a half-inch microphone (B&K 2639) whose sensitivity is  $40 \text{ mV} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$  in series with an amplifier. A dual-phase lock-in amplifier (EG&G 5302) was used to analyze the phase and amplitude of the signal. Figure 2 also shows the design of the PA cell. To minimize stray effects and other background noise, the



**Fig. 2.** Schematic diagram of the experimental setup of the PA spectroscopic method and the design of a PA cell.



Fig. 3. Shape of a prepared  $As_2S_3$  thin film sample for the measurement of thermal conductivity.

cell was made of plexiglass, whose absorption is negligible. There are two chambers in the cell, one for the sample and the other for the microphone. The two chambers are connected by a narrow channel. The amplitude of the PA signal was measured by changing the modulation frequency of AOM from a few Hz to 5 kHz.

The sample geometry for the  $3\omega$  method is shown in Fig. 3. A narrow gold metal strip and the rectangular pads are evaporated onto the sample through a stainless steel mask, and the lead lines are electrically connected to the pads. The samples are mounted in the sample holder using low temperature epoxy, and lead lines are connected to the pads using silver paste. Then, the metal strip is connected by a four-probe type to the current and voltage lead lines. The width of the heater pattern is about  $60 \mu m$ , and its



**Fig. 4.** Schematic of  $3\omega$  apparatus for thermal conductivity measurements.

length is 4 mm. Gold is preferred as a heating element because it is not susceptible to oxidation and has suitable electrical resistivity as a heater and temperature sensor.

The measurement circuit is shown in Fig. 4. Since the  $\omega$  component of the AC voltage at the heater causes a spurious signal at the lock-in amplifier, a Wheatstone bridge is used.  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are fixed resistances,  $R<sub>v</sub>$  and  $R<sub>s</sub>$  are the rheostat resistance and resistance of the gold pattern, respectively. Since  $R_2$  and  $R_v$  are a few tens of  $k\Omega$  and  $R_1$  and  $R_s$  are a few tens of  $\Omega$ , most of the current flows through  $R_1$  and  $R_s$ . In the experimental apparatus, to balance the bridge circuit, we adjusted the value of  $R_v$  to suppress the signal at  $\omega$  and then to separate only the  $3\omega$  component of the voltage signal. Using a lock-in amplifier, the amplitude and phase of the  $3\omega$  signal voltage were measured through the third harmonic mixer in the lock-in amplifier. The apparatus was controlled by a GPIB card and a LabView control program.

## **4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 5 shows the measured amplitude variation of the PA signal for fresh and photo-darkened  $As_2S_3$  film samples by changing the modulation frequency from a few Hz to 5 kHz. As the modulation frequency increases, the signal increases to about 600 Hz, and after that point, it decreases rapidly. The peak near 600 Hz is due to the Helmholtz resonance, and it does not affect the measurement of the thermal diffusivity because  $f_c$  is far from that frequency. The amplitude of the signal from the photodarkened



**Fig. 5.** Amplitude variation of the PA signal as a function of modulation frequency.



**Fig. 6.** Cross-sectional micrographs of fresh and a photodarkened  $As_2S_3$  thin films coated on a silicon substrate.

sample is slightly larger than that from the fresh sample. The phase of the PA signal was unstable, therefore, we did not record it.

The measured amplitudes were analyzed using three-parameter  $(f_c, g, g)$ and  $A_0$ ) estimation. The estimated  $f_c$  values for fresh and photodarkend samples were 2065 and 3092 Hz, respectively, and the calculated thermal diffusivities using Eq. (5) with a film thickness of 5  $\mu$ m were 5.16 × 10<sup>-4</sup> and  $7.62 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This means that the thermal diffusivity increased by about 50% by the photodarkening.

Figure 6 shows cross-sectional micrographs of fresh and photodarkened  $As_2S_3$  thin films coated on the silicon substrate. The grains in fresh film are small and uniform; however, the grain size becomes larger by the photodarkening. By the photodarkening, the film changes from a

Temperature	Thermal Conductivity ( $W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$ )	
	Fresh Film	Photodarkened Film
Room Temperature $130^{\circ}$ C	$0.206 \pm 0.009$ $0.275 \pm 0.015$	$0.239 \pm 0.011$ $0.316 \pm 0.019$

**Table I.** Measured Thermal Conductivities of Fresh and Photodarkened As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> Thin Films

homogeneous to an inhomogeneous state and from a stable to an unstable state. Up to now, a variety of models have been proposed to explain this behavior; however, most of them are speculative [14]. In common with these models, it can be explained that during photodarkening, the incident photons can excite electrons not only from lone-pair states but also from bonding states; therefore, some rearrangement of the atoms in the sample may take place within a short-range order and the atomic configuration of the system reaches a new state. Therefore, the increase of thermal diffusivity by photodarkening can be explained qualitatively.

Table I shows the measured thermal conductivity of the fresh and photodarkened samples at room temperature and at 130◦C in the frequency range from 200–850 Hz. At both temperatures, the thermal conductivity increased by about 14–15% by the photdarkening; however, the increase is small compared to that for the thermal diffusivity. This can be explained by the relation between the thermal conductivity  $(\lambda_f)$  and thermal diffusivity ( $\alpha_f$ ) of the thin film;  $\lambda_f = \alpha_f c_p \rho_f$ , where  $\rho_f$  and  $c_p$  are the density and heat capacity, respectively, of the thin film. The photo-illumination always increases the thickness of As-based chalcogenide glass [15, 16]; therefore, by this thermal expansion,  $\rho_f$  decreases and the amount of thermal conductivity increase is reduced, if  $c_p$  is a constant. The thermal conductivities at 130◦C are larger than the room temperature values. This trend is common in most semiconducting materials.

# **5. CONCLUSIONS**

Before and after photodarkening, the thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity of an amorphous  $As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>$  thin film coated on a silicon substrate were measured by PA spectroscopy and the  $3\omega$  method, respectively. The obtained result shows that after photodarkening, the thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity increased by about 50% and 14–15%, respectively, and this can be explained by the rearrangement of atoms and thermal expansion of the film [16].

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This work was supported by the University of Ulsan Research Fund of 2002.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. K. Tanaka and Y. Ohtsuka, *J. Appl. Phys*. **49**:6132 (1978).
- 2. M. Frumar, A. P. Firth, and A. E. Owen, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **192–193**:447 (1995).
- 3. S. D. Sartale and C. D. Lokhande, *Mater. Res. Bull.* **35**:1345 (2000).
- 4. A. Zakery, P. J. S. Ewen, and A. E. Owen, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **198–200**:769 (1996).
- 5. L. Tichy, A. Vidourek, P. Nagels, R. Callaerts, and H. Ticha, *Opt. Mater*. **10**:117 (1998).
- 6. J. H. Kwon, C. H. Kwak, and S. S. Lee, *Opt. Lett*. **10**:568 (1985).
- 7. E. Marquez, J. M. Gonzalez-Leal, R. Jimenez-Garay, and M. Vlcek, *Thin Solid Films* **396**: 183 (2001).
- 8. M. Frumar, Z. Polak, M. Vlcek, and Z. Cernosek, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **221**:27 (1997).
- 9. A. B. Seddon, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **184**:44 (1995).
- 10. A. Rosencwaig and A. Gersho, *J. Appl. Phys*. **47**:64 (1976).
- 11. P. Charpentier, F. Lepoutre, and L. Bertrand, *J. Appl. Phys*. **53**:608 (1982).
- 12. S. W. Kim, J. Lee, and R. E. Taylor, *Int. J. Thermophys*. **12**:159 (1991).
- 13. S. M. Lee and D. G. Cahill, *J. Appl. Phys*. **81**:2590 (1997).
- 14. J. Dikova and K. Starbova, *Vacuum* **58**:490 (2000).
- 15. Y. Kuzukawa, A. Ganjoo, and K. Shimakawa, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **227–230**:715 (1998).
- 16. A. Ganjoo, Y. Ikeda, and K. Shimakawa, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **266–269**:919 (2000).
- 17. B. R. Park, D. J. Seong, J. C. Kim, S. W. Kim, and S. H. Hahn, *Korean Appl. Phys*. **10**:530 (1997).